# Risk Register ~ Key Strategic Risks ~ Quarter 2 2019

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
					- increased costs of			9 18-Sep- 2019		
	ASC06 / KSR78 Legal challenge ASC Placements	Key	Social Care	- budgetary constraints	placements - prevention of other			9 <u>26-Jun-</u> 2019		
		ASC Strategic	placements might result in legal	people placed further from their support	developments - increased staff time	R	elihood	9 <u>02-Apr-</u> 2019	See Below	26 Jun 2019
			challenges	networks.	<ul><li>additional legal costs</li><li>damage to reputation</li></ul>		출	9 09-Jan- 2019		
							Impact			

#### **Control Actions:**

- We are targeting the market to fill the gap in complex placements- we try to utilise homes within the borough but at times due to the lack of availability of suitable placements within Merton we have to look further.
- All decisions regarding appropriateness and sufficiency of support are taken through the ASC outcomes forum.
- The learning disability offer review within the Strategy and Improvement programme will review market capacity and shape the markets appropriately for the future. This will include reviewing the cost model and fees students. We continue to assess a cross section of placements to assess value for money.

ag											
O 15 1 Lorraine Henry	ASC21 / KSR77 Increase in number of DoLS and Community DoL	Key Strategic	We may not be able to manage the rise in Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DOLS) and Community DOL effectively	West' widened the criteria for people that can be subject to a DoLS or Community DoLS (CDoLS) - The Government has not made any	- Existing backlog of assessments awaiting completion - Cost pressure in relation to DoLS assessments which need to be undertaken - Potential of legal challenge if DoLS authorisation requests	FI	Likelihood	9 9 9	18-Sep- 2019 25-Jun- 2019 01-Apr- 2019 03-Jan- 2019	See Below	18 Sep 2019
					are not completed		impact				

#### **Control Actions:**

- Following a paper to DMT/ CMT in 2018 a robust system is now in place to manage current Dols and historic cases. This is being monitored at DMT level.
- The backlog has reduced from 500 in Sept 17 to 220 in September 2019.
- The reason for the increase since March is that we receive 40- 50 authorisation requests on average each month. In the last few months we have seen an increased amount come in and a reduction of DoLs completed due to multiple factors such as reduction in use of external BIA's, staff holiday and additional training needs.
- Community DoL- A system is in place to screen and prioritise Community DoL and this will also be reported to DMT.
- Training is being organised, and a RAG system is being developed to identify clients that pose the most risk to the Local Authority. Community DOL training currently being procured.

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
			LB Merton may not be compliant with	- insufficient time or resources to fully	- LB Merton is fined by			16 04-Oct-		
Firm	0005 / 1/0070	Key	the General Data	- Weaknesses in some	the Information Commissioner's Office			12 O4-Sep- 2019		
Fiona Thomsen	CG25 / KSR79 GDPR	Strategic Risk	Requiation (GDPR)	existing and legacy IT systems - technical barriers to	<ul> <li>reputational damage</li> <li>key stakeholders lose confidence &amp; may not</li> </ul>	FI	poodil	12 <u>04-Jun-</u>	See Below	04 Oct 2019
			May 2018	compliance	share information / work with the Council.		Impact	12 04-Mar- 2019		

Work is on-going in all BAU areas i.e. advising staff DPA issues e.g. Information Sharing Agreements and dealing with data security issues. Still some outstanding actions from original action plan to be completed. Likelihood score increased from 3 to 4 at 2 October CRMG in view of potential 'no deal' brexit.

Pag Kris	CPI39 / KSR74 Inadequate consultation	Key Strategic Risk	Council services and policies, and/or the design and	<ul><li>inadequate</li><li>consultation</li><li>not meeting expected</li><li>standards</li></ul>	- increasingly robust scrutiny and challenge - possibility of Judicial Reviews	R, FI	eiihood	8 8 8	04-Sep- 2019 03-Jun- 2019 11-Dec- 2018	See Below	04 Sep 2019
152			implementation of projects (formerly CS17/KSR74)	- insufficient training	Reviews		Impact	8	17-Oct- 2018		

# **Control Actions:**

- The standards expected for consultation are described in the Community Engagement Strategy ("Get Involved") which was agreed by the Merton Partnership in 2010 and refreshed in 2014. All Council consultations should be listed on the Council's online consultation database, having been approved by the Consultation and Community Engagement Team. Support for services is available including training around the need for consultation, design, and legal obligations.
- A recent exercise showed the need for the coordination of our overall stakeholder management, which has been addressed.

				Because of:	Resulting in: - Child protection &			12	24-Sep- 2019		
	CSF01 / KSR35	Kov		agency working	safeguarding consequences			12	01-Jul- 2019		
Rachael Wardell	Safeguarding children	Key Strategic Risk		- Changing expectations & updated regulatory framework	including possible child death or serious harm increasing costs of	R	Dood	12	18-Mar- 2019	See Below	18 Jul 2019
	ormaterr	1101	ŭ	- Ongoing budget	"high cost" interventions - undermining of the Merton Model		Impact	12	23-Jan- 2019		

## Control Actions:

- Strengthened MSCB governance and development of new partnership arrangements. Refreshed the Merton CYP and Family Wellbeing Model. Ongoing rigour in conversations with partner agencies and third sector to improve understanding and responsibility of safeguarding. Launched consultation on CSC Practice Model. Signs of Safety and Think Family approaches being rolled-out. Post-Ofsted action plan fully implemented.
- Permanent appointments made to key senior positions in Children's Social Care and Education Divisions.

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
Charles Baker; John Bosley		Key Strategic Risk	We may be unable to meet financial budget for waste disposal	to ERF - Increase in waste forecasted - Reduction in recycling - Insufficient budget to	- operational difficulties - performance may be affected (more landfill, less recycling and	FI/R/O	Likelihood	6 23-Sep- 2019 6 20-Jun- 2019 6 25-Mar- 2019 8 25-Sep- 2018	See Below	23 Sep 2019

- Following the roll out of the new collection service in Oct 2018 our waste horizons continue to reduce. In line with our forecast a budget saving of £250k has been taken this financial year (2019/20) We continue to undertake monthly monitor our waste volume and amend our budget projections accordingly.
- Due to growth in Food waste and Garden waste these budget areas are under pressure. This will be offset by the 14% reduction in general waste.

Pali McGarry; O James OMcGinlay O	Key Strategic Risk	and/or commercial	- inadequate preparation and planning on our part	- financial impact on council and services - economic impact on Wimbledon Town Centre and the borough (potential loss of businesses and	FI	Likelihood	12 12 12 12	17-Sep- 2019 20-Jun- 2019 25-Mar- 2019 02-Jan-	See Below	07 Oct 2019
153		Centre and Weir Road		jobs) - Council reputation		Impact	12	2019		

# Control Actions:

- Ongoing engagement with CR2 to identify alternative options to minimise land-take in Wimbledon town centre.
   New phased proposals illustrated in LBM Future Wimbledon Masterplan.
- CR2 awaiting government approval to progress with safeguarding land south of Wimbledon.
- No further update until Gov Spending Review post-Brexit

				- insufficient capacity (Veolia)				15	23-Sep- 2019		Tr.
			Veolia may fail to deliver the street	- disputed areas of responsibility - financial impact of	- reputational damage			15	20-Jun- 2019	Review of internal	
Charles B		,	cleaning and/or	recycling market	to the Council - negative	R	po	15	2019	resources and client structure to be	23 Sep 2019
John Bos	ey contractor	Risk	services to the	adversely affect Veolia	environmental impacts - negative public		ikeliho	15	06-Feb- 2019	undertaken to build greater resilience within	'
			.,	and real time information - poor management by Veolia	health impacts		Impact			the service.	

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
Caroline Holland; Mark Humphries	IT24 / KSR21 Public Contract Regulations / Contract Standing Orders	Key Strategic Risk	Regulations 2015 and Contract Standing Orders (previously risk	<ul> <li>incorrect procurement (despite this being a tightly regulated area of council activity)</li> <li>Lack of staff awareness</li> <li>insufficient training</li> </ul>	- adverse budget and	R	Likelihood	15 06-Sep- 2019 15 04-Jun- 2019 15 13-Mar- 2019 15 04-Dec- 2018	See Below	05 Feb 2019

- An online procurement 'toolkit' was formally launched in September 2018. This will be supported by staff training sessions in order to promote use of the Councils procurement portal and the suite of new documents and templates. This will promote and embed good practice across the organisation and significantly reduce the risk of a legal challenge due to a failure to meet the requirements of the Public Contract Regulation or Contract Standing Orders.

Operational Procurement Groups (Within each Department) are responsible for providing Governance, appropriate challenge, assurance and management of key activities within the procurement process, and work in conjunction with the Council's Procurement Board to ensure adherence to Public Contract Regulations & Contract Standing Orders

<u> </u>					Ü						
ge				- Reduction in	- negative impact on service provision			15	12-Sep- 2019		
e Church;	RE02 / KSR49 Corporate	Key	develop a	- challenges of making	<ul> <li>damage to council reputation</li> </ul>		8	15	05-Jul- 2019		
+Caroline Holland	Business Plan & balanced		Dlan 9 aat a	Business Rate Retention due to lack of	- negative impact on staff morale	FI	elihoo	12	17-Jun- 2019	See Below	12 Sep 2019
	budget		for 19/23 & beyond	I ondon Pilot Pool	- dissatisfaction of internal & external customers		를 Impact	12	04-Mar- 2019		
			I					I			

## Control Actions:

- The MTFS has been rolled forward a year and updated for the 2018/19 outturn position.
- Officers are currently revising the financial implications of the Spending Round 2019 and awaiting further information of future allocations of schools funding.
- There is also uncertainty arising from the implications of Brexit which are unknown but could have a significant impact.

Caroline RE16 / Holland; Bindi Annual ( Lakhani Progra		2019/20 to 2022/23	- we are unable to achieve planned and/or anticipated savings - projected outturns do not match actuality	- adverse impact on the authorities ability to balance its budget in the medium to long term - gap is larger than the contingency - we are required to reinstate reserves	FI	Likelihood	15 15 15	•	10-Sep- 2019 17-Jun- 2019 04-Mar- 2019 04-Dec- 2018	See Below	10 Sep 2019
--	--	--------------------	--	---	----	------------	----------------	---	--	-----------	-------------

#### Control Actions:

The monthly monitoring report is forecasting a shortfall in savings in 2019/20 of £1.5 million (21%). In 2018/19 savings of £1.5 million were underachieved and £0.5 million are an ongoing pressure and not expected to be achieved in 2019/20. Delivery of savings continues to be carefully monitored and reported in 2019/20 as they are critical to balance the budget.

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
Corporate Management Team	RE24 / KSR80 Impact of Brexit	Key Strategic Risk	We might be unable to respond effectively to the changes brought about by Brexit	impact on LBM's	- Financial uncertainty - Impact on local economy, investment & growth - Employment & skills gaps - Strain on resources - Impact on services esp. social care - Difficulty complying with statutory requirements - inability to capitalise on post-Brexit opportunities The risk profile assumes a Brexit deal. If no deal, the risk profile will significantly increase as a consequence of the uncertainty created.	FI, SP, O	Poodilipod	12	See Below	02 Sep 2019

Sticers closely monitor developments on the Brexit negotiations and the potential impact for the council, citizens and businesses. This includes reviewing the Technical Notices, guidance from the LGA and other for related assessments of possible implications. A session has been held with Collective DMT to identify risks. This has been reviewed by CMT and a task group of officers from across the council meets regularly to notion developments and how the Council responds.

- Cabinet considered the implications of Brexit at their November 2018 meeting and the Overview and Scrutiny Commission are reviewing the implications for citizens. One outcome of the Cabinet meeting was to establish a corporate task group headed by the CS Director to review the implications of Brexit in detail and direct appropriate actions as required.

# **New Key Strategic Risk**

Risk Owner	Code & Name	Risk or Issue	Risk Description	Cause(s)	Consequence(s)	Impact code	Matrix	Current Score & Review History	Control Actions	Date provided
Paul McGarry Pau Qe 15	ER154 / KSR 82 NEW RISK Bishopsford Road Bridge	Key Strategic Risk	the damaged bridge structure and Financial risk re. repair or replacement of the bridge	3. TBC, if the current bridge cannot be saved. 4. TBC. Whether fault is the flood, a contractor liability or employer liability. 5. Lack of comms or	1. Flood risk to properties 2. Structural risk to the damaged bridge structure 3. Financial risk re. repair or replacement of the bridge 4. Litigation – risk of contractual disputes. 5.Reputational risk on LBM 6. Traffic & Transport and safety of pedestrians on the remaining footbridge.	FI, R	Likelihood	16 08-Oct-2019	See Below	07 Oct 2019

# mtrol Actions:

- 1. Webcam installed under the bridge to monitor water flow and identify potential blockages in heavy rain events. Regular flood alerts being monitored.
- 2. Stabilisation of the structure is now complete and the riverbed 'scour' has now been backfilled. The bridge is now stable; awaiting structural reports on next steps.
- 3. Independent study commissioned to assess what led to the collapse. A second Independent study is being procured to set out costed structural and logistic options for repairing or replacing the bridge. FMC will also be asked to provide their assessment for options going forward to repair the bridge.
- 4. LBM have set up a web-page for information, attended local meetings and hosted a resident information day on the bridge and will attend Community Forum. Information leaflets are being prepared for letterbox distribution. Councillors are being updated weekly. Business rate relief being offered to affected businesses.
- 5. Bus diversions in place and advanced traffic diversion signs from Sutton-Mitcham are in place. LBM are seeking an additional shuttle bus service to Morden (TBC mid-Oct).
- Pedestrian safety has been improved with new lights in Ravensbury Park path; Anti-moped barriers and signs installed. ANPR cameras installed and vegetation cut back and being monitored. Additional litter-picks arranged.